Proceedings of CONF-SEML 2026 Symposium: Importance of Machine Learning Methods and Analysis in Engineering
DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.AS31460

Human Computer Interaction from Interfaces to Intelligent Systems

Youyu Ke

Hillfield Strathallan College, Hamilton, Canada
youyuke07929@gmail.com

Abstract. Human–Computer Interaction (HCI) is an interdisciplinary field that integrates insights from computer science, psychology, cognitive science, and design studies. As digital technologies such as artificial intelligence, mobile computing, and multimodal sensing continue to advance, human–technology interaction has become increasingly complex, extending beyond traditional concerns of usability toward cognitive, affective, and social dimensions. This study presents a structured literature review of HCI, aiming to clarify its conceptual development, theoretical foundations, and practical applications. The review traces the evolution of HCI from early command-line and graphical interfaces to mobile, context-aware, and intelligent multimodal interaction paradigms. It further examines key theoretical and computational frameworks, including User-Centered Design, context-aware interaction models, and multimodal fusion mechanisms, highlighting their roles in supporting adaptive and human-centered interaction. In addition, representative applications in healthcare, transportation and autonomous driving, and service and humanoid robotics are analyzed to illustrate how these frameworks are instantiated under domain-specific constraints. Overall, the findings indicate a clear shift in HCI toward adaptive, personalized, and continuous interaction, emphasizing collaboration between humans and intelligent systems.

Keywords: Human Computer Interaction, User Centered Design, Context Aware Interaction, Multimodal Interaction, Intelligent Systems

1. Introduction

Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) is an interdisciplinary science that combines the views and approaches of the computer science, psychology, cognitive science, and design studies [1]. Since the inception of the word, HCI has moved on to be more than just a technical issue that focuses on the usability or efficiency of systems and has slowly found its way to deal more with how humans perceive, interpret and interact with technological systems. Instead of simply dealing with the issue of the way the devices are used, modern HCI studies focus on the cognitive, affective, as well as social ways in which users comprehend interactive technologies. The emergence of new digital technologies, including artificial intelligence, virtual and augmented reality, ubiquitous computing, etc., makes the interaction between humans and technology more complicated [2]. Users is no longer a passive consumption of system output but is an active participant whose perceptions,

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.AS31460

intentions and contextual experiences defines consequences of interaction. Due to this, HCI has broadened its areas of interest to cover issues about user experience, embodied interaction, affective computing, accessibility and ethical design due to an increasing interest in human-centered values during technological development.

It is in this context that a methodical insight into how HCI research has evolved over the years as well as its present-day environment is not only needed but timely too. The study will use literature review method to thoroughly discuss how HCI has been developed into a research discipline. In particular, it will attempt to provide historical development of HCI, show the key areas of its application, overview the main theoretical approaches and conceptual framework, and recap the challenges and future research prospects. This review aims to make the field more understandable by systematizing the available literature into an analytical framework, thus offering a better view of the progression of this field and where it is going. In this review, the research study provides a scientific insight on enhancing the better conceptualization of the HCI and provides a systematic reference to other researchers and practitioners in the area of designing and evaluating human-centered technology.

2. The evolution of Human–Computer Interaction

The development of HCI has not followed a linear trajectory; rather, it has continuously evolved alongside changes in computing technologies, usage contexts, and human cognitive approaches to technology.

2.1. The first stage: command-line and graphical user interfaces (1970s–1990s)

The initial phase of HCI was mainly defined by command line interfaces (CLI), and graphical user interface (GUI) [3]. At this time, interaction was primarily keyboard based and screen based where users had to memorize or touch possible fixed interface elements in order to complete tasks. As many people adopted the personal computers, the development of GUI operating systems like Windows and Macintosh, the barrier to computer use became considerably reduced, and now people, who are not computer experts, can interact with computers using graphical windows, icons and menus.

The fundamental aim of the HCI research work during this phase was to enhance the usability and learnability of the system and much attention was given to ensure the cost of learning was minimized and the occurrence of errors during operations were at the minimum level. User-Centered Design (UCD) and the GOMS model (Goals, Operators, Methods, and Selection Rules) are the representative theoretical models that were created to analyze human actions and task structures. These theories formed the basis of HCI as a more human-related area of research, where design orientation was switched with more of a technical implementation perceptions to a more cognitive nature of the users and their behaviors.

2.2. The second stage: mobile computing and natural interaction (2000s–2010s)

In the early twenty-first century, HCI reached another stage as a result of the fast development of mobile internet technologies and portable computing devices. The spread of smartphones, tablets, and wearables slowly transferred the human-computer interaction out of the desktop setting and into the contextually aware mobile one [4]. Natural modalities of interaction like touch, gestures and voice started to substitute the ancient inputs of the use of mice-keyboard and the user could now

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.AS31460

manipulate technological systems in manners that were more conducive to normal human behaviors than to strict definition of computationality.

In this phase, the role of interaction design changed to not only the usability but also the questions of intuitiveness, comfort, and naturalness. HCI studies started paying more attention to user experience (UX), contextual awareness as well as the importance of bodily engagement in the processes of interaction. A common use is in smartphone operating systems and gesture-based interaction devices and some of the first voice assistants. This time saw the shift in HCI research to work on interface-based design to a wider issue that included situation of use and comprehensive interaction.

2.3. The third stage: intelligent and multimodal interaction (2015s–present)

In recent years, the world of human-computer interaction was further changed with the achievements of artificial intelligence. Machine learning technologies, through speech recognition, computer vision and behavior prediction, have allowed systems to offer more and more complex solutions to human input. Consequently, HCI has turned out of single-awareness interaction to multimodal interaction, where speech and vision touch in addition to affective cues are integrated [3].

At this point, the human-computer dynamic will no longer be considered merely as a tool utilization, but it is becoming a sort of partnership. Smart systems can learn by observing people and malfunction and can be more willing to participate in the process of making decisions, creativity and support, more involved in emotional labor. Nevertheless, the change has brought new research issues as well containing concerns of the user privacy, the transparency of algorithms, the trust of the system, the autonomy of the user, and the ethical scope of feel-good interaction. These matters are primary concerns in recent studies in the field of HCI and represent serious concerns to the conventional conceptualizations of the human-computer interaction.

3. Theoretical and computational frameworks in Human–Computer Interaction

Interaction between humans and existing computer is based on a series of theoretical and computational models that inform the design, analysis, and evaluation of the interactive systems. UCD is one of the most profound paradigms and is based upon the idea of the system design, based on the systematic analysis of the requirements, a series of prototyping and testing to the prototype and its alignment with human cognitive features and behavioral patterns. Usability, learnability and efficiency were considered as design goals of the era of GUI of which UCD was especially formative [4]. Conceptually, the usability can be perceived as a complex functionality of numerous human-related considerations, which may be abstractly modeled as

$$U = \alpha E + \beta L + \gamma S \quad (1)$$

where E denotes efficiency, L learnability, S user satisfaction, and α, β, γ are weighting parameters reflecting design priorities.

With the rise of mobile computing, context-aware interaction models became increasingly prominent, shifting HCI toward adaptive and situationally responsive systems. In such models, interaction behavior is no longer static but dynamically adjusted based on contextual information, including user state, environmental conditions, and task demands [5]. This adaptive process can be formally expressed as a mapping function:

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.AS31460

$$A_t = f(C_t,\ U_t) \tag{2}$$

where C_t represents contextual variables at time t, U_t denotes the user's current state or goals, and A_t corresponds to the system's interaction response. This formulation highlights the computational logic underlying context-aware systems, emphasizing interaction as a continuous decision-making process rather than a fixed interface mechanism.

More recently, multimodal interaction frameworks have become central to HCI research, reflecting the growing integration of speech, vision, touch, and other sensory signals in interactive systems [6]. These frameworks aim to fuse heterogeneous input modalities into a coherent representation of user intent, which can be abstractly modeled as

$$I = g(X_1, X_2,\ \ldots,\ X_n) \tag{3}$$

where each X_i corresponds to an input modality and I denotes the inferred interaction intent or system input state. Practically, weighted or learned mixtures of modalities are commonly applied in this fusion process to allow systems to be able to adapt to different interaction conditions. Together, these theoretical and computational frameworks suggest the direction of future HCI systems which are more adaptive, personalized and continuous as a larger trend in the contact with the interfaces to more intelligent interaction partners that can be upgraded with time alongside their users.

4. HCI in representative application domains

4.1. HCI applications in healthcare

The HCI technologies are commonplace within the pharmaceutical sector in situations associated with high risks and high stakes like intelligent surgical support, remote health care call, as well as the collaboration of man and machine to diagnose health problems [7]. Interactive systems are needed in robot-assisted and minimally invasive surgery to incorporate high-resolution medical imaging, instrument positioning information and the operational intent of clinicians in real-time to achieve accurate visual or haptic feedback to assist intricate procedures. In addition to interface simplicity, these systems should be stable and predictable even when there is intense time and uncertainty.

Remote healthcare and constant health monitoring systems extend HCI further into non-clinical settings by use of wearable devices and interactive systems thus allowing long term data gathering and visualization of physiological data. Context-aware interaction models are vital in these settings because systems need to be dynamic with regard to adapting to the state of the patient, the environment, and clinical activities. One design trend that has been highlighted in the literature is the human-in-the-loop that consists of the integration of intelligent analysis and automated suggestions with human control to prevent the risk of taking key decisions in medicine under the control of professionals. Such balance denotes the real-life implementation of HCI models with strict safety and reliability requirements.

4.2. HCI applications in transportation and autonomous driving

HCI studies in the transport system and autonomous driving are mainly based on human-vehicle joint decision-making, in-vehicle interface design, and multimodal warning systems [8]. Also as the driving tasks become less and less a fully human-monitoring and more a shared control of a driver and the intelligent system, the interaction interface plays central roles in terms of safety, trust, and

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.AS31460

situational awareness. Common uses are dashboard information systems, voice and vision-based navigation systems, and multimodal alert systems intended to be used in the case of hazardous conditions. As an example, potential collision risks could be sensed and warning signs such as visual highlighting, audible sounds and a haptic feedback could be used at the same time, to pass urgent information and lessen the response time of a driver. HCI systems in this kind of highly dynamic environments should also be highly context-aware that is, it should always change its information presentation strategies in regard to the road conditions, the state of the vehicle and levels of the driver attention. Empirical research has shown that effective multimodal interaction can not only improve the state of understanding of the functioning of the systems in the drivers but also lessens cognitive load as well as delays in human reaction, therefore, contributing to the overall safety of traffic. This field demonstrates the applied usefulness of multimodal interaction frameworks and context-aware interaction frameworks in real-time decision making situations.

4.3. HCI applications in service and humanoid robotics

Unlike healthcare and transportation, where safety and responsiveness in real time are the main concerns of design, HCI applications in service and humanoid robotics emphasize far more on long-term interaction, social behavior, and affective interaction. It has been shown experimentally in the context of education and caregiving that robots that have basic emotion recognition abilities and expressive feedback cues like facial expressions and vocal prosody, or even body language can significantly enhance the interaction and engagement levels of users over those of command-only mechanisms [9] [10]. Multimodal inputs such as speech commands, visual perception, recognition of postures or gestures and affective cues are usually processed in service robot systems. Out of these, speech and vision are the most important interaction medium with the affective signals having a moderating role in end-user satisfaction and continued use. Studies have always shown that multimodal fusion mechanisms enhance the robustness of the system by lessening interaction failures due to single-modality failure. These results give credence to the profession of HCI as a proceeding model in service robotics, where the interaction models can be required to be able to adapt gradually throughout time. Together, the findings in this field indicate that including emotion recognition and predicting behavior in addition to adaptive interaction mechanisms can improve functional performance as well as compose constructive factors towards user acceptance and trust along with the permanency of long-lasting human-robot associations.

5. Challenges and future directions

Nonetheless, Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) is challenged by technical, methodological and ethical issues despite the great progress in the field of theory and practice. One of them is the tension between intelligence in the system and autonomy in human beings especially with adaptive and predictive interaction models taking more responsibility in decision making among the users. It is the necessary structure of the system behavior to ensure that it is understandable and transparent to the user and does not cause over-reliance on automation. The issues directly associated premises are privacy and data governance due to the context-sensitive and multimodal systems typically demanding data continuity in terms of personal, behavioral, and affective data. Going forward, HCI studies are likely to focus on the continuity, individuality, and human-centric interaction. The development of artificial intelligence offers the possibilities to leave the static paradigms of interaction in favor of dynamically co-adapting systems that enable collaboration instead of the performance of tasks. The use of interdisciplinary methods, which would embrace psychology,

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.AS31460

cognitive science, ethics and design, will be of importance in dealing with the implications of intelligent interactive systems within the society. Finally, advances on HCI have not only been fulfilling enhanced system performance but have also been to improvise interaction models that uphold human values, enable agency and promote sustainable human-technology relations.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, this paper has offered a broad survey of the history, theory and scope of application of Human-Computer interaction (HCI) as an area of research that has undergone transformation that it is no longer an interface-focused usability issue but has now grown to be more human focuses as well as intelligence-based research. Through the historical development of HCI, the review illustrates how interaction design has responded to the evolution of computing technologies, the contexts of their usage, thus developing through the command-line interfaces, graphical interfaces, mobile, context-aware and intelligent multimodal interfaces. The consideration of the fundamental theoretical and computational frameworks also helps to understand that the concepts of User-Centered Design, context-aware interaction, and multimodal fusion could be viewed as crucial conceptual resources that allow perceiving interaction as the process of dynamic and adaptive behavior instead of the interface mechanism. In addition, the analysis of the representative application domains, such as healthcare, automated driving and transportation, and service and humanoid robotics, also shows that these structures are implemented with domain-specific constraints with the focus on safety, real-time responsiveness, long-term interaction, and affective interaction.

Nonetheless, being a literature-based research, the results are pegged on the extent and accessibility of the research accessible and do not encompass original empirical confirmation. Some trends and representative applications are not adequately represented in the chosen studies; however, with the ongoing rapid development of technologies, the domain of application practices specific to a region may not be well captured in the studies. Further longitudinal and in-the-field research should be conducted, to understand how interaction varies into the long run, as well as in various real-world situations.

References

[1] Lazar, J., Feng, J. H., & Hochheiser, H. (2017). Research methods in human-computer interaction. Morgan Kaufmann.

[2] Xu, W., Dainoff, M. J., Ge, L., & Gao, Z. (2021). From human-computer interaction to human-AI Interaction: new challenges and opportunities for enabling human-centered AI. arXiv preprint arXiv: 2105.05424, 5(10.1080), 10447318-2022.

[3] Grudin, J. (2018, April). From tool to partner: The evolution of human-computer interaction. In Extended Abstracts of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 1-3).

[4] Hassenzahl, M. (2018). User experience and experience design. In The encyclopedia of human-computer interaction (2nd ed.). Interaction Design Foundation.

[5] Perera, C., Zaslavsky, A., Christen, P., & Georgakopoulos, D. (2015). Context aware computing for the Internet of Things: A survey. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 16(1), 414–454.

[6] D’Mello, S., & Kory, J. (2015). A review and meta-analysis of multimodal affect detection systems. ACM Computing Surveys, 47(3), Article 43.

[7] Quazi, S., & Malik, J. A. (2022). RETRACTED: A Systematic Review of Personalized Health Applications through Human–Computer Interactions (HCI) on Cardiovascular Health Optimization. Journal of Cardiovascular Development and Disease, 9(8), 273.

[8] Krömker, H. (2021). HCI in Mobility, Transport, and Automotive Systems. Springer International Publishing.

DOI: 10.54254/2755-2721/2026.AS31460

[9] Breazeal, C., Dautenhahn, K., & Kanda, T. (2016). Social robotics. In B. Siciliano & O. Khatib (Eds.), Springer handbook of robotics (2nd ed., pp. 1935–1972). Springer.
[10] Paiva, A., Leite, I., Boukricha, H., & Wachsmuth, I. (2017). Empathy in virtual agents and robots: A survey. ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems, 7(3), Article 11.